REMARKS

Claims 1-20 are pending in the application. Claims 16-18 are withdrawn from

consideration. Claims 19 and 20 are added.

35 U.S.C. § 102:

Claims 1-5 and 7-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by US

Publication No. 2004/0107540 (Hsu).

Claim 1 provides a novel and unobvious fold-data processing apparatus including

features neither disclosed, taught nor suggested by Hsu. For example, claim 1 describes

generally that said projection member is allowed to swivel with respect to the control member

when the second unit is in a first position such that the second unit turns around the second axis.

Element 33 of Hsu is applied against the claimed at least one projection member and

element 4 of Hsu is applied against the claimed control member. Without conceding to the

application of Hsu, Applicants submit that element 33 of Hsu does not swivel with respect to

element 4 such that the second unit turns around the second axis. Element 33 of Hsu is not

responsible for the second member turning around the second axis. Instead, the second member

of Hsu turns around the alleged second axis of Hsu when the rotation shaft mechanism rotates

(see paragraph 18 of Hsu).

Therefore, for at least this reason, Hsu cannot be reasonably interpreted as disclosing

each feature of claim 1, such that the rejection thereof under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) should be

8

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

U.S. Application No.: 10/721,880

withdrawn. The rejection of claims 2-5 and 7-15 should likewise be withdrawn at least by virtue

of their respective dependencies upon claim 1.

35 U.S.C. § 103:

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu. The

Examiner acknowledges that Hsu does not disclose features regarding the claimed attitude angle.

Applicants respectfully submit that claim 6 is patentable over Hsu at least by virtue of its

dependency upon claim 1. This is because the application of Hsu under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) fails

to teach or suggest each feature recited in claim 1, such that claim 6 is deemed patentable at least

by virtue of its dependency.

NEW CLAIMS:

Claims 19-20 are added to obtain more varied protection for the invention. Applicants

submit that claims 19 and 20 are patentable over Hsu at least by virtue of their respective

dependencies upon claim 1.

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed

to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the

Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is

kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

9

Attorney Docket # Q78621

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

U.S. Application No.: 10/721,880

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel V. Williams

Registration No. 45,221

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060 Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

> WASHINGTON OFFICE 23373 CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: January 18, 2006